

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
2 United States Attorney  
3 CHRISTINA T. SHAY  
Assistant United States Attorney  
4 Chief, Criminal Division  
KEVIN J. BUTLER (Cal. Bar No. 329129)  
JENA A. MACCABE (Cal. Bar No. 316637)  
5 Assistant United States Attorneys  
Violent and Organized Crime Section  
1300 United States Courthouse  
6 312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
7 Telephone: (213) 894-6495/5046  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
8 E-mail: kevin.butler2@usdoj.gov  
jena.maccabe@usdoj.gov

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 5:25-cr-00198-KK-3, 6

14 Plaintiff,

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND  
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME  
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL  
ACT

15 v.

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 02-23-26

16 PABLO RAUL LUGO LARROIG,  
aka "Walter Loza," and  
17 JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES,

[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND  
MOTION HEARING DATE: 02-05-26

18 Defendants.

19  
20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
23 parties in this matter on July 21, 2025. The Court hereby finds that  
24 the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this  
25 Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial  
26 date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of  
27 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.  
28

1       The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
9 diligence.

10      THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11       1. The trial in this matter is continued from August 11, 2025,  
12 to February 23, 2026, at 8:30 a.m. The pretrial conference and  
13 motion hearing is continued to February 5, 2026, at 1:30 p.m.

14       2. The time period of August 11, 2025, to February 23, 2026,  
15 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
16 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
17 and (B)(iv).

18       3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
19 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
20 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
21 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
22 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
23 //

24 //

25

26

27

28

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
4

5 July 22, 2025

6 DATE



---

HONORABLE KENLY KIYA KATO  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7

8 Presented by:

9 /s/

10 KEVIN J. BUTLER  
11 JENA A. MACCABE  
12 Assistant United States Attorneys

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28